2018 Accountability Report Childcare Assistance Outcomes



CHILDCARE ASSISTANCE FY18 FY19 \$116 \$134 20,488 21,300	Kids Att 4 or 5 Focus (STAR	Attendi	r-Olds ng Child Ire		ed 9 or Aonths	Low Income Child Care Participants Proficient in Reading by 3 rd Grade		
	FY	FY18		June FY18		18	FY	18	
	43%		2,371		47%		18%		
	Bet	ter	Lov	wer	Wo	rse	Better		
	FY17	36%	FY17	2,463	FY17	49%	FY17	17%	
Source:							All low income	students- 23%	
		CYFD		CYFD		CYFD		CYFD	

The Childcare Assistance program is a subsidy program for families with children between the ages of 3 weeks and 13 years whose families make less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) and who work or attend training and education programs. Child care is not an entitlement program and is funded

through a combination of federal block grants (Child Care and Development Fund, and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) and state appropriations (general fund). Historically, the largest source of funding for childcare assistance was from the federal Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF), however, in FY19, general fund has become the largest source of funding.

Since FY12, enrollment in child care has fallen by 7 percent cost per child has risen by 76 percent. Administered by the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD), in FY18 childcare assistance average monthly enrollment was 20,488, an increase of 9.5 percent over FY17. The average monthly cost per child was \$550, \$53 more per child per month than FY17. At \$550 per child per month, it will cost an additional \$6 million for every one thousand additional children served. Total direct spending for childcare assistance in FY18 reached \$135.2 million, nearly \$19 million above anticipated spending in CYFD's FY18 operating budget. In FY19, childcare assistance received an additional \$22 million from the general fund and \$3 million from TANF.

Increased provider rates for the highest levels of quality care contributed to increased spending as more providers qualify for 5-STAR reimbursements. Child care providers can qualify as a



5-STAR provider by either meeting quality standards in the state's tiered rating quality improvement system, Focus, or through an approved national accrediting body. Periodically, the state recertifies that child care providers are meeting Focus standards, while accredited providers are endorsed by their accrediting body. The newest childcare block grant funding framework released in early 2018 will provide the state an additional estimated \$18 million in discretionary funds, helping with projected increased costs in FY20. Aside from income eligibility requirements, parents must also work or go to school. The vast majority of qualified parents use child care assistance to support their employment.

Qualifying Activity for Parent								
	FY17	FY18						
Employment and Training	8.5%	8.5%						
Employment	75.7%	78.9%						
Training/Education	15.7%	12.6%						

Source: CYFD ACF Files Note: Point in time data from June of each fiscal

Policy makers should use caution in increasing child care resources as a tool to improve long-term educational outcomes or to reduce child maltreatment. Despite significant increased investments in quality initiatives, evidence linking participation in child care with long-term impacts on educational outcomes is lacking. There is some evidence that select child care facilities perform on par with high performing prekindergarten facilities. There is also some evidence that child care assistance has a short-term impact on improving school readiness. LFC staff have found small, short-term effects for Aim High (the legacy tiered quality rating improvement system), and UNM's Cradle to Career Policy Institute (CCPI) found similar short-term impacts. However, several LFC studies, along with the recent CCPI study, have found no impact of child care participation on 3rd grade scores, which is consistent with national research on fade out effects of some early childhood programs. While some studies have shown promising short-term educational gains, there is no evidence to conclude that participation in New Mexico child care has a long-term positive impact on educational outcomes. Child care may have other benefits for kids and parents, such as contributing to economic stability, however.

- **2013:** LFC produced a report showing small improvements in DIBELS scores for child care Aim High participants, however these effects faded out by the 3rd grade.
- **2015-Current:** LFC begins producing an annual accountability report showing child care participant proficiency on the 3rd grade PARCC comparable (typically a bit lower) to proficiency among non-participant low-income students.
- 2018: CCPI produces a report showing improvements in DIBELS scores for some child care participants. However, the CCPI projects that participants must be in child care two years or more to reach benchmark. CCPI reports only about 1/5th of children participate in child care for 24 months or more, according to a study from the U.S. Health and Human Services Department. Similar to the 2013 LFC study and subsequent accountability reports, CCPI found no effect of child care participation on 3rd grade PARCC scores.



Participation in Focus has not been shown to reduce the likelihood of child maltreatment. The Centers for Disease Control reports some promising relationships between certain aspects of child care and outcomes for parents and children relating to maltreatment. The LFC has received testimony from CYFD that Focus

may reduce child maltreatment. LFC staff followed up with CYFD to determine the method of the study used to examine this issue. According to CYFD's Chief Data Officer, a study was not conducted; instead, a comparison of high level outcome data was taken as evidence that child care may be impacting child maltreatment. While this initial comparison is promising, and there is some research suggesting that high quality child care may reduce one type of maltreatment (neglect) there is not enough evidence to conclude that participation in New Mexico child care prevents child maltreatment. The comparison did not control for various factors including the age of the victim, which is problematic as the measure of repeat maltreatment includes children from 0-18 whereas children included in the comparison measure of child care participation is limited by age. CYFD offered to partner with LFC staff to conduct a valid study. Note several programs studied in LFC Results First reports have been shown to reduce maltreatment (e.g. alternative response, SafeCare, Nurse-Family Partnership home visiting).

Multiple reports have found significant improved educational outcomes from participation in prekindergarten and K-3 Plus. LFC reports have consistently found that participation in prekindergarten is associated with improved attendance and performance through 8th grade. The effect is so strong in some instances that it can be seen in descriptive statistics alone (non-inferential statistics), however when certain variables such as poverty are controlled for, the effect is even stronger. Additionally, in 2017, LFC staff found that participation in both prekindergarten and K-3 Plus was associated with a closing of the achievement gap at kindergarten entry for a cohort of students. There are also studies outside of New Mexico with evidence suggesting prekindergarten programs involving parents may reduce child maltreatment.

CYFD projects continued growth in enrollment and costs. CYFD continues moving forward in its implementation of Focus, New Mexico's third-generation tiered quality rating system. CYFD reported in FY18 more childcare providers are moving into the highest levels of quality rating, but nonetheless fell slightly below performance targets for the fiscal year. In FY18, 60 percent of children attended "high quality", 3-, 4-, and 5-STAR programs. The agency also reported rural providers are struggling to move into the state's newest quality rating system, Focus, and there is no timeline for transition of providers remaining in the



legacy system (Aim High). Additional technical assistance may be needed to assist providers remaining in the legacy system to increase quality standards and to transition from Aim High to Focus.

Four-year-old child care enrollment increased from FY16 to FY17 from 1,972 to just fewer than 2,500 and remained relatively stable in FY18. Additionally, the number of children enrolled nine or more months increased from 34 percent in FY14 to 49 percent in FY17, however there was a drop in FY18 to 47 percent. The Legislature has implemented new reporting requirements. In the 2018 legislative session, House Bill 193 enacted the Early Childhood Care Accountability Act (ECCAA) in the Children's Code, establishing standards for licensure and registration and put a number of data collection and reporting requirements into place, including requiring a report of these data to the Legislature beginning in December of 2019.

Early	Childhood Acc	countability Re	port-2018 Upd	ate
	Infant Mortality	Low Birth Weight	Immunization Rate	Early Access to Prenatal Care
HEALTHY	2016 6.2 Per 1,000 children	2016 9% 2,331 children	²⁰¹⁶ 68.5%	2016 63% 24,503 children
	U.S. – 5.9 (2016) Worse	U.S. – 8.2% (2016) Worse	U.S. – 71% (2016) Worse 2015 70%	U.S. – 77% (2016) Worse
Source:	2015 5.1 CDC	2015 8.7% DOH	2015 70% DOH	2015 66% DOH
	Child Death Rate: Abuse or Neglect	Rate of Child Maltreatment	Rate of Foster Care Placement	Repeat Maltreatment
SAFE	2016	2016	2016	2018
	2.24 Per 100,000 children U.S. Range 0-5.96	15.4 Per 1,000 children U.S. – 8.8 (2016)	3.8 Per 1,000 children	11% U.S. Std- 5.4%
Source:	Better 2015 2.82 ACF	Better 2015 17.5 ACF	Same 2015 3.8 ACF	Better 2017 11.7% CYFD/NCANDS
	PreK Students at or Above Grade Level at Kindergarten Entry	Rate of Children Under 5 in Poverty	Kids Funded to Participate in Early Childhood Programs	Low Income 4- Year-Olds in Day Services
READY TO LEARN BY	FY18	2016	FY19	FY16/FY17
KINDERGARTEN	81% Non-PreK 77% Baseline	34% same 2015 34%	73,871 children Better FY18 65,298	70% ^{21,655 children Better FY15 66%}
Source:	PED/KOT	Census	LFC Vol. 3	CYFD, ACF, & LFC
EDUCATED 3 rd Grade	Reading – All Kids 2018	Reading – Low-Income Kids 2018	Math – All Kids 2018	Math – Low-Income Kids 2018
Source:	30% Better 2017 25% PED	25% Better 2017 22% PED	32% Better 2017 30% PED	27% Better 2017 24% PED
	I ED	I ED	I ED	I ED



Early Childhood Funding History

(in millions of dollars)

		Y12 tuals		Y13 tuals		Y14 tuals		Y15 tuals		116 Suals		Y17 tuals		Y18 pBud	FY19	Opbud
Children, Youth and Families Department - Early C	hildh	ood Ser	vice	s Prog	rams		33		1							
Childcare Assistance																
General Fund	\$	26.8	\$	29.8	\$	33.3	\$	30.3	\$	30.0	\$	30.6	\$	30.6	\$	52.6
Federal Funds	\$	30.4	\$	31.6	\$	15.1	\$	23.9	\$	36.0	\$	51.4	\$	54.9	\$	47.2
OSF	\$	0.8	\$	1.4	\$	0.8	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	0.9
USDA E&T	\$	0.6	\$	0.6	\$	0.6	\$	- 30.5	\$	- 30.5	\$ \$	- 30.5	\$	- 30.5	\$ \$	- 33.5
TANF Total Childcare Assistance	\$	24.3 82.9	\$	23.8 87.2	\$	73.0	\$	84.7	\$	96.5	\$	112.5	\$	116.0	\$	134.2
	Y	02.0]	*	UT IA	, A	10.0	¥	<u></u>	*	0010					- T	
Home Visiting						1.5	•	0.01	•	70	-	0.0	•	0.0		40.4
General Fund	\$	2.3	\$	3.2	\$	4.5	\$	6.3 3.3	\$	7.3	\$	3.2 5.3	\$	8.6 5.1	\$	<u>10.1</u> 5.1
Federal Funds TANF	\$	-	\$	2.7	\$	- 2.5	ъ \$		\$	4.5	\$	5.0	\$	5.0	\$	5.0
Tobacco Settlement Fund	\$		\$		\$	1.1	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-
Total Home Visiting	\$	2.3	\$	5.9	\$	8.1	\$	11.6	\$	15.5	\$	13.5	\$	18.7	\$	20.2
Early Childhood Professional Development	1.	1									•					
General Fund	\$	0.5	\$	0.5	\$	0.5	\$	1.0	\$	1.3	\$	0.9	\$	1.3	\$	1.4
Prekindergarten: Four Year Old Basic Services																
General Fund	\$	8.2	\$	9.2	\$	8.5	\$	6.4	\$	4.2	\$	1.8	\$	2.1	\$	2.1
TANF	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6.1	\$	6.1	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$	0.1
Fund Balance	\$	1	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$	0.1
Tobacco Settlement Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3.1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-
Total	\$	8.2	\$	9.2	\$	11.6	\$	12.5	\$	10.3	\$	2.0	\$	2.3	\$	2.3
Prekindergarten: Four Year Old Extended Day Ser	vices															
General Fund	15		\$	-	\$	-	\$	7.8	\$	6.8	\$	6.7	\$	7.8	\$	7.8
TANF	\$		э \$		\$		\$	-	\$	5.5	\$	11.5	\$	11.5		11.5
Fund Balance	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	0.4	\$	0.4	\$	0.4
Total		- i-	\$		\$	-	\$	7.8	\$	12.3	\$	18.6	\$	19.7	\$	19.7
	L*	5	Ľ.	and me			+		+		-		<u> </u>			
Prekindergarten: Three Year Old Services							_									
General Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	2.0	\$	4.7	\$	3.3	\$	5.8
TANF	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2.0	\$	2.0	\$	2.5	\$	2.5
Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	0.4	\$	1.2	\$	1.2
Tota	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	4.0	\$	7.1	\$	7.0	\$	9.5
Subtatal OVED Prov	10	8.2	•	9.2	\$	11.6	\$	20.4	\$	26.6	\$	27.8	\$	29.0	\$	31.5
Subtotal CYFD Prek	100	22 18	2		4	11.0	Φ	20,4	φ	20,0	φ	27.0	φ	23.0	φ	01.0
Planning Grant: High Quality Early Childhood Dev	elopn	ment Ce	nter	<u>s</u>												
General Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	0.5	\$	-	\$		\$	÷.	\$	-
TOTAL CYFE	\$	93,9	\$	102.8	\$	93.1	\$	118.2	\$	139.8	\$	154.8	\$	164.9	\$	187.3
					_											
Public Education Department - Special Appropriat	ions '	*								1		and the		a const		
Prekindergarten: Four Year Old Basic Services																
	Te	6.2	10	10.0	10	15.0	e	17.7	¢	21.0	\$	21.0	\$	21.0	\$	29.0
General Fund	\$	6.3	\$	10.0	\$	15.0	\$	17.7	\$	3.5	\$	3.5	\$	3.5	\$	3.5
TANF Tota	-	6.3	\$	10.0	\$	15.0	\$	17.7	\$	24.5	\$	24.5		24.5		32.5
and a set of the set of			φ	10.0	φ	10.0	ų.	11.1	4	44.0	Ψ	24.0	14	44.0	14	02.0
Prekindergarten: Four Year Old Extended Day Ser	vices	<u> </u>														
General Fund	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	1.5	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1 .		1		1.									015		00.1
Subtotal PED Prek	\$	6.3	\$	10.0	\$	15.0	\$	19.2	\$	24.5	\$	24.5	\$	24.5	\$	32.5
K-3 Plus																
General Fund	\$	5.3	\$	11.0	\$	16.0	\$	21.2	\$	23.7	\$	22.6	\$	23.7	\$	30.2
Early Literacy	1.		1.		1.		1.							40.5	1.	
General Fund	\$	-	\$	8.5		11.5	\$	14.5	\$	15.0	\$	14.1	\$	12.5		8.1
TOTAL PED	\$	11.6	\$	29.5	\$	42.5	\$	54.9	\$	63.2	\$	61.2	\$	60.7	\$	11.
Department of Health		1-1-2-1-		1				S-Really	- 12		1.2	and the second second		10 0 C 10	5.0.2.	12000
			-								-					
Family, Infant and Toddlers Program (Birth to 3) ²															1.7	
General Fund	\$	14.5	\$	14.0	-	14.5	\$	20.1	\$	19.7	\$	19.4		19.4		20.
All other funds	\$	16.5	\$	19.6		19.6	\$	19.6	\$	23.0	\$	24.3	\$	24.3	\$	24.
TOTAL DOM	\$	31.0	\$	33.6	\$	34.1	\$	39.7	\$	42.7	\$	43.7	\$	43.7	\$	44.
Human Sarvices Department	_		1000			0.000		10000		121 (23)						
Human Services Department	100	States and							-						1.000	
Home Visiting																
General Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	0.
All other funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	1.
	\$	- T	\$		\$	2.2.4	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	2.
TOTAL HSL			-		-	,	-				-		1		1	
TOTAL HSD			1													306.
		136 5		165 0	•	169 7	2	212 8	S	245 7	2	259 7	S	269 3	IS	
	\$	136.5	\$	165.9	\$	169.7	\$	212.8	\$	245.7	\$	259.7	\$	269.3	\$	500.
TOTAL RECURRING EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS	\$	136.5	\$	165.9	\$	169.7	\$	212.8	\$	245.7	\$	259.7	\$	269.3	\$	500.
TOTAL RECURRING EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS Race to the Top- Early Learning Challenge																
TOTAL RECURRING EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS Race to the Top- Early Learning Challenge Federal Funds	\$	136.5	\$	165.9	\$	9.4		212.8		245.7	\$	259.7		269.3	\$	-
TOTAL RECURRING EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS Race to the Top- Early Learning Challenge																
TOTAL RECURRING EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS Race to the Top- Early Learning Challenge Federal Funds					\$											

	Child Care	e Funding So (in the	Care Funding Sources and Uses, FY13 to FY19 (in thousands of dollars)	Uses, FY13 ^{Is)}	to FY19			
	FY13 Actual	FY14 Actual	FY15 Actual	FY16 Actual	FY17 Actuals	FY18 Opbud	FY18 Actuals	FY19 OpBud
Sources								
General Fund	\$35,113	\$22,607	\$35,184	\$34,001	\$34,666	\$35,067	\$44,893	\$57,067
Title IV-E (Federal)	006\$	006\$	006\$	\$900	\$2,871	006\$	\$3,126	
TANF (Federal)	\$23,778	\$23,778	\$30,528	\$30,528	\$30,528	\$30,528	\$30,528	\$33,528
Employment & Training (Federal)	\$332	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$0
Other State Funds	\$0	\$779		\$0	\$0	0\$	\$3,513	006\$
CCDF (Federal)	\$33,732	\$37,840	\$32,438	\$48,476	\$61,204	\$70,306		\$61,177
Total Revenue	\$93,855	\$85,904	\$99,050	\$113,905	\$129,268	\$136,801	\$148,007	\$155,543
Uses								
Child Care Services								
1-Star	\$8,177	\$6,092	\$5,265	\$4,830	\$3,906	\$4,027	\$3,677	
2-Star	\$32,264	\$23,168	\$21,486	\$19,496	\$16,620	\$17,135	\$16,015	
3-Star	\$10,391	\$14,349	\$22,606	\$29,343	\$35,606	\$36,711	\$36,396	\$37,921
4-Star	\$7,222	\$6,223		\$7,916	\$11,630	\$11,991	\$12,243	\$12,756
5-Star	\$22,771	\$23,160	\$28,916	\$34,910	\$44,755	\$46,143	\$65,077	\$67,805
Child Care Services 1-5 Star Uses Total	\$80,825		\$84,672	\$96,495	\$112,518	\$116,007	\$133,408	\$139,000
Quality Initiatives (Consultants, etc)	\$4,152			\$7,363	\$7,281	\$8,442	\$7,549	\$8,183
Admin/Eligibility	\$6,957			\$10,047	\$9,470	\$12,352	\$7,050	
Total Spending	\$91,934	\$85,904	\$99,050	\$113,905	\$129,268	\$136,801	\$148,007	\$155,543
Balance	\$1,921	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0
Balances by Revenue						54		
CCDF (Federal)	\$0	0\$		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Employment & Training (Federal)	\$0	0\$		0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other State Funds	\$0		\$0	0\$	\$0			\$0
General Fund	\$1,921	0\$		\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
				Non Manager				
Average Monthly Caseload (# of Children)	19,903	17,790	17,014	17,751	18,705	20,000	20,488	21,300**
**Projected average					Sourc	Source: Children, Youth and Families Department and LFC Files	nd Families Departm	ient and LFC Files

Childcare Assistance Provider Rates 8.15.2.17 NMAC

Formula: (Base Rate + Quality Differential) x Units of Service = Provider Rate*

Base Rates										
Childcare Assistance Base Provider Rate										
	Licensed Child Care Centers									
Infant	Toc	Idler	Pre	-School	Sch	nool-age				
\$ 720.64	\$	589.55	\$	490.61	\$	436.27				
Licensed Group Homes (capacity: 7-12)										
Infant Toddler Pre-School School-ag					nool-age					
\$ 586.07	\$	487.11	\$	427.13	\$	422.74				
License	ed F	amily Hor	nes	(capacity:	6 or	less)				
Infant	Toc	Idler		-School	School-age					
\$ 566.98	\$	463.50	\$	411.62	\$	406.83				
Registe	ered	Homes a	and I	n-home C	hild	Care				
Infant	Too	ldler	Pre	-School	Sch	nool-age				
\$ 289.89	\$ ·	274.56	\$	251.68	\$	251.68				

Age	e Range Definitions
Infant	Zero - 23 months
Toddler	24 -35 months
Pre-school	Three to five year olds
School-age	Six-years and older

Quality Differential									
Childcare Assistance Monthly Quality Differential Provider Rate									
2+ Star Focus Childcare Centers, Licensed Family, and Group Homes									
Infant	Toddler			School	School-age				
\$ 88.00	\$	88.00	\$	88.00	\$	88.00			
3 Star Focus Ch	ld Care Ce	nters, Lice	ensed	Family, and	Group Horr	ies			
Infant	Toddler			School	School-age				
\$ 100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00			
4 Star Focus Licensed Family and Group Homes									
Infant	Toddler		Pre-	School	School-age				
\$ 180.00	\$	180.00	\$	180.00	\$	180.00			
5 Star Focus or CY	-D approve	d Nationa Group Ho		reditation Lic	ensed Fami	ly and			
Infant	Toddler	Group no		School	School-age				
\$ 250.00	\$	250.00	\$	250.00	\$	250.00			
	1								
and the state of the state	4 Star Fo	ocus Child	Care	Centers					
Infant	Toddler		Pre-	School	School-age				
\$ 280.00	\$	280.00	\$	250.00	\$	180.00			
5 Star Focus or CY	FD Approv	ed Nationa	al Aco	creditation C	hild Care Ce	enters			
Infant	Toddler		Pre-	School	School-age				
\$ 550.00	\$	550.00	\$	350.00	\$	250.00			

Units of Service									
Full time	Part time 1	Part time (split custody or in cases of two providers)	Part time 3						
Care provided for an average of 30 or more hours per week per month	Care provided for an average of 8-29 hours per week per month	Care provided for an average of 6-19 hours per week per month	Care provided for an average of 7 or less hours per week per month						
Pay at 100% of full time rate	Pay at 75 % of full time rate	Pay at 50 % of full time rate	Pay at 25% of full time rate						

*Non-traditiona	I Hours Differential (r	not included in ab	ove formula)
	1-10 hrs/wk	11-20 hrs/wk	21 or more hrs/wk
After hours	5%	10%	15%
Weekend hours	5%	10%	15%